nannvi

Pd-Catalyzed Regiodivergent Hydroesterification of Aryl Olefins with Phenyl Formate

Wenlong Ren,[†] Wenju Chang,[†] Yang Wang,[†] Jingfu Li,[†] and Yian Shi^{*,†,‡,§}

† State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of [Ch](#page-3-0)emistry for Life Sciences, Center for Multimolecular Organic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China ‡ Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Recognition and Function, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10090, China

§ Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An effective Pd-catalyzed regiodivergent hydroesterification of aryl olefins with phenyl formate is described. Either linear or branched phenyl arylpropanoates can be obtained in good yields with high regioselectivities by the judicious choice of ligand without the use of toxic CO gas.

Carboxylic esters are an important class of compounds in organic chemistry and play an important role in pharmaceuticals and fine shamicals. Hydrogetarfication of pharmaceuticals and fine chemicals. Hydroesterfication of olefins presents an attractive approach to this class of compound. Transition-metal catalyzed hydroesterification with CO has been intensively studied and provides a useful method for the synthesis of esters.^{1,2} Nevertheless, CO is highly toxic and difficult to handle. Moreover, traditional processes are frequently carried out under hig[h](#page-3-0) pressure and temperature. These drawbacks hamper the study and application of the hydroesterification in the laboratory. In the past, efforts have been made to use formates as CO surrogates to overcome the aforementioned disadvantages.3,4 Regioselective hydroesterification of aryl olefins provides a straightforward approach to linear or branched arylpr[opa](#page-3-0)noates, which are useful intermediates for medicinally important compounds, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (Figure 1).⁵ A number of examples have been reported with formates in the presence of a $Ru^{4j,\overline{l},n}$ $Ru^{4j,\overline{l},n}$ $Ru^{4j,\overline{l},n}$ or Pd^{4i,k} catalyst. Generally, a mixture of linear or branched arylpropanoates have been obtained. Hydroesterifi[catio](#page-3-0)n of ar[yl o](#page-3-0)lefins with high regioselectivity still remains very challenging.^{3c} During our ongoing studies on Pd-catalyzed CO -free hydrocarbonylation of olefins, 6 we have found that

either linear or branched phenyl arylpropanoates can be regioselectively formed from aryl olefins by the choice of ligand (Scheme 1). Herein, we wish to report our preliminary results on this subject.

Styrene (1a) was used as the test substrate for the hydroesterification. Various phosphine ligands were initially examined with 5 mol % $Pd(OAc)$, and 3.0 equiv of HCOOPh^{4i,j} in toluene at 90 °C for 24 h. It was found that the ligand has a profound effect on the reaction efficiency and regioselec[tivi](#page-3-0)ty. The common bidentate ligands, such as dppe, dppp, dppb, and dppf, generally favored linear ester 2a with up to 4:1 l/b ratio (Table 1, entries 1−4). When the phenyl group in dppf was replaced by a cyclohexyl group $(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{1}^\mathcal{T})$, compound 2a was much m[ore favo](#page-1-0)red (with an 18:1 l/b ratio) (Table 1, entry 5). No regioselectivity was observed with [Xa](#page-3-0)ntphos (L2) (Table 1, entry 6). No product was obtained with a c[yclohexyl](#page-1-0) analogue of Xantphos (L3) (Table 1, entry 7). In contrast, the r[egioselec](#page-1-0)tivity was reversed with PPh₃, favoring compound 3a with a 1:3 l/b ratio (Tabl[e 1, en](#page-1-0)try 8). A slightly lower

Received: June 3, 2015

Table 1. Studies of the Reaction Conditions^a

1a	Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %) ligand (x mol %) additive (10 mol %) HCOOPh (3 equiv) toluene, 90 °C	2a	COOPh COOPh 3a	
entry	ligand	additive	yield $(\%) (2a:3a)^{b}$	
$\mathbf{1}$	dppe		64(2:1)	
\overline{c}	dppp		95(4:1)	
3	dppb		88(4:1)	
$\overline{4}$	dppf		92(2:1)	
5	L1		88(18:1)	
6	L2		93 $(1:1)$	
7	L ₃		$\mathbf{0}$	
8	PPh ₃		89(1:3)	
9	L4		89(1:2)	
10	L ₅		45 $(<1:20)$	
11	$P(o$ -tolyl) ₃		$\mathbf{0}$	
12	L6		trace	
13	L1	MeSO ₃ H	28 ($>20:1$)	
14	L1	HCOOH	89 ($>20:1$)	
15	L1	CH ₃ COOH	92 ($>20:1$)	
16 ^c	L1	CH ₃ COOH	83 ($>20:1$)	
17	L5	CH ₃ COOH	53 $(<1:20)$	
18	L ₅	HCOOH	67 $(<1:20)$	
19 ^d	L ₅	HCOOH	92 (<1:20)	
$20^{c,d}$	L ₅	HCOOH	82 $(<1:20)$	
21^e	L1	HCOOH	0	
22^e	L ₅	HCOOH	$\mathbf{0}$	
23^f	L1	HCOOH	$\boldsymbol{0}$	
24^f	L5	HCOOH	$\boldsymbol{0}$	
L1	$P(Cy)_2$ $P(Cy)_2$ PR ₂ $L2 R = Ph$	PR ₂ PR ₂ $L4 R = Ph$	O [/] Pr /PrC $P(Cy)_2$ L6	
	L3 $R = Cy$	L5 $R = Cy$		

 a ^aThe reactions were carried out with 1a (0.50 mmol), HCOOPh (1.50) mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.025 mmol), ligand (0.050 or 0.10 mmol, P/Pd = 4:1), and additive (0.050 mmol) in toluene (0.10 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h unless otherwise stated. $\frac{b}{b}$ Isolated yield. The ratio of $2a:3a$ was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.
^cHCOOPb at 1.00 mmol ^dI jgand at 0.15 mmol ^eThe reaction was HCOOPh at 1.00 mmol. ^dLigand at 0.15 mmol. ^eThe reaction was carried out with 3.0 equiv of HCOOⁿBu instead of HCOOPh. ^fThe reaction was carried out with 3.0 equiv HCOOH in the absence of HCOOPh.

selectivity was obtained with 2-(diphenylphosphino)biphenyl (L4) (Table 1, entry 9). Again, compound 3a was greatly favored (with a <1:20 l/b ratio) with a cyclohexyl analogue of ligand L4, and a relatively lower yield was obtained (Table 1, entry 10). Little product was detected with $P(o$ -tolyl)₃ and L6 (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). Similar yield and regioselectivity were obtained for the reaction with L1 by addition of 10 mol % HCOOH or CH₃COOH (Table 1, entries 14 and 15 vs entry

5). However, in the case of LS , 8 the yield increased from 45 to 67% with 10 mol % HCOOH (Table 1, entry 18 vs entry 10). The exact role of the acid is n[ot](#page-3-0) currently clear; the acid could facilitate the reaction by activating HCOOPh. Studies showed that the yield was further increased to 92% with more ligand added (Table 1, entry 19). The yield was decreased when the amount of HCOOPh was reduced (Table 1, entries 16 and 20). Control experiments showed that no ester products were observed when HCOO"Bu was used instead of HCOOPh (Table 1, entries 21 and 22). When the reaction was carried out with 3.0 equiv of HCOOH in the absence of HCOOPh, the reduction product (ethylbenzene) was mainly formed (Table 1, entries 21−24).

The regiodivergent hydroesterification can be extended to a wide variety of aryl olefins. Either linear or branched phenyl arylpropanoates can be obtained in 54−98% yields with high regioselectivities with ligand L1 or L5 (Table 2, entries 1−16). Substituted styrenes were effective substrates. The phenyl rings can have various substituents, including [OMe, a](#page-2-0)lkyl, phenyl, Cl, and CF₃ groups (Table 2, entries 1-11). The hydroesterification also worked well for olefins with other aromatics, such as naphthalene [and thiop](#page-2-0)hene (Table 2, entries 12−16). For alkyl terminal olefins, linear esters were formed predominately under both conditio[ns \(met](#page-2-0)hods A and B) (Table 2, entries 17 and 18). Further studies showed that the regioselectivity for the linear ester with 1-hexene (1q) was i[ncreased](#page-2-0) to >20:1 when 1,1′-bis(di-tert-butylphosphino) ferrocene was used as ligand (Table 2, entry 17, method C). For β -methylstyrene (cis and trans mixture), a mixture of phenyl 4-phenylbutanoate (11[\), phe](#page-2-0)nyl 2-methyl-3-phenylpropanoate (12), and phenyl 2-phenylbutanoate (13) was isolated in 50% yield with a ratio of 100:7:1 when the reaction was carried out with method A. When method B was used, a mixture of phenyl 4-phenylbutanoate (11) and phenyl 2 phenylbutanoate (13) (1:45) was obtained in 21% yield.

The branched esters from 1-isobutyl-4-vinylbenzene and 2 methoxy-6-vinylnaphthalene (Table 2, entries 3 and 13) are

Table 2. Pd-Catalyzed Regiodivergent Hydroesterification of Olefins

		COOPh Ar' \mathbf{z}	Method A Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %) L1 (10 mol %) CH ₃ COOH (10 mol %) HCOOPh (3 equiv)	Ar 1	Method B Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol %) $L5(30 \text{ mol } %$ HCOOH (10 mol %) HCOOPh (3 equiv)	COOPh Ar' 3	
entry	$\mathbf{1}$	method ^a	yield(%) $(2:3)^{b}$	entry	$\mathbf{1}$	method [®]	yield(%) (2:3) ^b
						\mathbf{A}	91 (> 20:1)
				9	1i	$\, {\bf B}$	97 (< 1:20)
$\mathbf{1}$	$X = H$ la	\mathbf{A}	92 (> 20:1)			$\rm A$	97 (> 20:1)
		B	92 (< 1:20)	10	1j	$\, {\bf B}$	98 (< 1:20)
$X = OMe$ 1b $\mathbf{2}$	\mathbf{A}	85 (> 20:1)			\mathbf{A}	93(12:1)	
		$\, {\bf B}$	90 (< 1:20)	11	MeO OMe 1k	$\, {\bf B}$	96 (< 1:20)
		\mathbf{A}	88 (> 20:1)		$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf A$	85 (> 20:1)
3	$X = 'Bu$ 1c	$\, {\bf B}$	98 (< 1:20)	12		$\, {\bf B}$	96 (< 1:20)
	$X = Ph$ 1d	\mathbf{A}	85 (> 20:1)	13		$\boldsymbol{\rm{A}}$	84 (> 20:1)
4		$\, {\bf B}$	93 (< 1:20)		1 _m MeO	$\, {\bf B}$	98 (< 1:20)
		\mathbf{A}	83 (> 20:1)	14		$\mathbf A$	62 (> 20:1)
5	$X = C1$ 1e	$\, {\bf B}$	91 (< 1:20)		1n	$\, {\bf B}$	54 (< 1:20)
		\mathbf{A}	77(18:1)			A	65 (> 20:1)
6	$X = C F_3$ 1f	$\, {\bf B}$	62 (< 1:20)	15	1 ₀	$\, {\bf B}$	77 (< 1:20)
			16		A	81 (> 20:1)	
					1 _p	$\, {\bf B}$	87 (< 1:20)
7	$X = Me$ 1g	\mathbf{A}	95 (> 20:1)	17 ^c	1q	A	86(9:1)
		$\, {\bf B}$	96 (< 1:20)			$\mathbf B$	25(4:1)
						C	51 (> 20:1)
$\,$ 8 $\,$	$X = OMe$ 1h	\mathbf{A}	94 (> 20:1)	18 ^c		$\mathbf A$	57 (> 20:1)
		B	$93 (= 1:20)$		1r	$\, {\bf B}$	42 (> 20:1)

"Method A: The reactions were carried out with 1 (0.50 mmol), HCOOPh (1.50 mmol) , Pd $(OAc)_2$ (0.025 mmol) , L1 (0.050 mmol) , and CH₃COOH (0.050 mmol) in toluene (0.10 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h unless otherwise stated. Method B: The reactions were carried out with 1 (0.50 mmol), HCOOPh (1.50 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.025 mmol), L5 (0.150 mmol), and HCOOH (0.050 mmol) in toluene (0.10 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h unless otherwise stated. Method C: The reaction was carried out with 1q (0.50 mmol), HCOOPh (1.50 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.025 mmol), 1,1'bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)ferrocene (0.050 mmol), and HCOOH (0.050 mmol) in toluene (0.10 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h. b Isolated yield and the extern two caty-prospins fishbolic (choco inner), and row of the rinder) in externe (circums) at 50 °C for 21 in research from and the
ratio of two regioisomers was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mix

closely related to ibuprofen and naproxen (Figure 1). Phenyl esters are reactive intermediates. The corresponding acid, methyl ester, and amide can be readily o[btained b](#page-0-0)y direct addition of KOH-H₂O, MeOH, and *n*-butylamine to the reaction mixture at the end of hydroesterification (Scheme 2).

Scheme 5. Palladium $\eta^1 - \eta^3$ Benzyl Complexes

A precise reaction mechanism is not clear at this moment and requires further study. One plausible catalytic cycle is proposed in Scheme 3. The oxidative addition of Pd(0) to HCOOPh led to palladium hydride complex 4, which rearranged t[o palladium](#page-1-0) carbonyl complex 5. The olefin substrate was subsequently hydropalladated to form complexes 6 and 7, which gave acylpalladium complexes 8 and 9 upon a migratory insertion. The reduction elimination of complexes 8 and 9 led to esters 2 and 3 with regeneration of the Pd catalyst.

To further probe the reaction mechanism, we subjected deuterium-labeled styrene $1a-d_2$ to the hydroesterification reaction conditions (Scheme 4). With bidentate ligand L1, the resulting linear ester has 50% H at the α -carbon to the ester group. With mono[dentate lig](#page-2-0)and L5, the corresponding branched ester has 50% H at the β-carbon to the ester group. These results indicate that there is some deuterium−hydrogen exchange in both cases, suggesting that the hydropalladation process from 5 to complexes 6 and 7 is reversible and unlikely to be the determining step for the regioselectivity. The regioselectivity is likely to be determined in the subsequent migratory insertion step (from 6 and 7 to 8 and 9). Palladium η^1 -benzyl complex 7 can undergo ligand dissociation with monodentate ligand L5 to form stabilized η^3 -benzyl complex 10,⁹ which likely facilitates the formation of acylpalladium species 9, leading to branched ester 3. The formation of η^3 benzyl complex 10 is less favored for bidentate ligand L1 due to the ligand dissociation being less facile than that of monodentate ligand L5. As a result, acylpalladium species 8 is favored due to the steric effect, leading to linear ester 2. In the case of nonaromatic olefins (Table 2, entries 17 and 18), there exists no benzylic stabilization for the palladium species. Linear ester 2 is thus formed as [the majo](#page-2-0)r product with both ligands L1 and L5 as a result of steric effects.

In summary, we have developed an efficient Pd-catalyzed regiodivergent hydroesterification of aryl olefins with phenyl formate under mild reaction conditions by a judicious choice of ligand. A wide variety of linear or branched phenyl arylpropanoates have been obtained with high yields and excellent regoselectivities. The reaction process is potentially useful for organic synthesis and operationally simple, requiring no handling of toxic CO gas. Further efforts will be devoted to understanding the reaction mechanism, expanding the substrate scope, and developing an asymmetric process of this reaction.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterization data, NMR spectra, and CIF information. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01630.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: Yian.Shi@colostate.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (0205131566) and Nanjing University for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For leading reviews on hydroesterification in the presence of CO gas, see: (a) Kiss, G. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3435. (b) Ali, B. E.; Alper, H. In Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Beller, M., Bolm, C., Eds.; WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; Vol. 1, pp 113−132. (c) Godard, C.; Muñ oz, B. K.; Ruiz, A.; Claver, C. *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 853. (d) Brennführer, A.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. ChemCatChem 2009, 1, 28.

(2) For leading references on regioselective hydroesterification of olefin with CO gas, see: (a) Fuchikami, T.; Ohishi, K.; Ojima, I. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3803. (b) Zhou, H.; Hou, J.; Cheng, J.; Lu, S.; Fu, H.; Wang, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 543, 227. (c) Seayad, A.; Jayasree, S.; Chaudhari, R. V. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 459. (d) Yokota, T.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5005. (e) Yokota, K.; Tatamidani, H.; Fukumoto, Y.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4329. (f) Wang, L.; Kwok, W. H.; Chan, A. S. C.; Tu, T.; Hou, X.; Dai, L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 2291. (g) Zhang, J.; Xia, C.-G. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 206, 59. (h) Rangits, G.; Kollár, L. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2005, 242, 156. (i) Ooka, H.; Inoue, T.; Itsuno, S.; Tanaka, M. Chem. Commun. 2005, 1173. (j) Vieira, T. O.; Green, M. J.; Alper, H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 6143. (k) Estorach, C. T.; Masdeu-Bultó, A. M. Catal. Lett. 2008, 122, 76. (l) Zúñiga, C.; Moya, S. A.; Aguirre, P. Catal. Lett. 2009, 130, 373. (m) Atla, S. B.; Kelkar, A. A.; Chaudhari, R. V. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2009, 307, 134. (n) Pews-Davtyan, A.; Fang, X.; Jackstell, R.; Spannenberg, A.; Baumann, W.; Franke, R.; Beller, M. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 1168. (o) Zolezzi, S.; Moya, S. A.; Valdebenito, G.; Abarca, G.; Parada, J.; Aguirre, P. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2014, 28, 364.

(3) For leading reviews on hydroesterification with CO surrogates, see: (a) Jenner, G. Appl. Catal., A 1995, 121, 25. (b) Morimoto, T.; Kakiuchi, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5580. (c) Wu, L.; Liu, Q.; Jackstell, R.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6310. (d) Konishi, H.; Manabe, K. Synlett 2014, 25, 1971.

(4) For leading references on hydroesterification with CO surrogates, see: (a) Isnard, P.; Denise, B.; Sneeden, R. P. A.; Cognion, J. M.; Durual, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 256, 135. (b) Ueda, W.; Yokoyama, T.; Morikawa, Y.; Moro-oka, Y.; Ikawa, T. J. Mol. Catal. 1988, 44, 197. (c) Lavigne, G.; Lugan, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10669. (d) Legrand, C.; Castanet, Y.; Mortreux, A.; Petit, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 1173. (e) Suzuki, Y.; Katoh, H.; Ishii, Y.; Hidai, M. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1995, 95, 129. (f) Ko, S.; Na, Y.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 750. (g) Wang, L.; Floreancig, P. E. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4207. (h) Park, E. J.; Lee, J. M.; Han, H.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4355. (i) Katafuchi, Y.; Fujihara, T.; Iwai, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 475. (j) Konishi, H.; Ueda, T.; Muto, T.; Manabe, K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4722. (k) Fleischer, I.; Jennerjahn, R.; Cozzula, D.; Jackstell, R.; Franke, R.; Beller, M. ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 417. (l) Profir, I.; Beller, M.; Fleischer, I. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 6972. (m) Armanino, N.; Lafrance, M.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 572. (n) Li, B.; Lee, S.; Shin, K.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2010.

(5) For leading reviews and references on nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents, see: (a) Hart, F. D. Drugs 1975, 9, 321. (b) Harrington, P. J.; Lodewijk, E. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1997, 1, 72. (6) (a) Wang, H.; Dong, B.; Wang, Y.; Li, J.; Shi, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 186. (b) Wang, Y.; Ren, W.; Li, J.; Wang, H.; Shi, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5960.

(7) (a) Kim, T.-J.; Kim, Y.-H.; Kim, H.-S.; Shim, S.-C.; Kwak, Y.-W.; Cha, J.-S.; Lee, H.-S.; Uhm, J.-K.; Byun, S.-I. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1992, 13, 588. (b) Kuwano, R.; Shimizu, R. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 913. (8) (a) Wolfe, J. P.; Singer, R. A.; Yang, B. H.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9550. (b) Mowery, M. E.; DeShong, P. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 2137. (c) Baudoin, O.; Guénard, D.; Guéritte, F. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9268. (d) Liu, Y.; Li, D.; Park, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7333.

(9) (a) del Río, I.; Claver, C.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 2001, 2719. (b) Klingshirn, M. A.; Rogers, R. D.; Shaughnessy, K. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, 690, 3620. (c) Muñoz, B. K.; Garcia, E. S.; Godard, C.; Zangrando, E.; Bo, C.; Ruiz, A.; Claver, C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2008, 4625.